arXiv:gr-qc/0508091v2 26 Aug 2005

Background independent quantizations: the scalar field I

Wojciech Kamiński[1], Jerzy Lewandowski[1,2]*and Marcin Bobieński[3]

1. Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej, Uniwersytet Warszawski, ul. Hoża 69, 00-681 Warszawa, Poland

2. Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline Street North, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada

3. Wydział Matematyki, Informatyki i Mechaniki Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego ul. Banacha 2, 02-097 Warszawa, Poland

Abstract

We are concerned with the issue of quantization of a scalar field in a diffeomorphism invariant manner. We apply the method used in Loop Quantum Gravity. It relies on a specific choice of scalar field variables referred to as the polymer variables. The quantization, in our formulation, amounts to introducing the 'quantum' *polymer *-star algebra* and looking for positive linear functionals, called states. Assumed in our paper homeomorphism invariance allows to determine a complete class of the states. Except one, all of them are new. In this letter we outline the main steps and conclusions, and present the results: the GNS representations, characterization of those states which lead to essentially self adjoint momentum operators (unbounded), identification of the equivalence classes of the representations as well as of the irreducible ones. The algebra and topology of the problem, the derivation and all the technical details are contained in the paper-part II.

1 Motivation

The phrase "background independent theory" means in Physics a theory defined on a bare manifold endowed with no extra structure like geometry or fixed coordinates. A prominent example is the theory of matter fields coupled to Einstein's gravity. In the case of a background independent classical theory it is natural to assume the background independence in a corresponding quantum theory. A profound polemic devoted to that

*lewand@fuw.edu.pl

issue can be found in recent paper of Smolin [1].[1] The canonical formulation of the field theory relies on the $3+1$ decomposition of space-time into the 'space' M and 'time' $\mathbb{R}$. Then, the background independence implies invariance with respect to the diffeomorphisms of M. The invariance concerns in particular any matter fields in question: they have to be quantized in an often new, background independent way.

In this letter and the accompanying paper [2] we are concerned with the issue of a diffeomorphism invariant quantization of a scalar field. We follow the approach introduced by Thiemann [3]. It was motivated by and suited to be compatible with Loop Quantum Gravity [5] (LQG itself is not in the scope of this paper). Later, that approach was called the *polymer representation* in [4].[2] It consists in a specific choice of scalar field variables –the polymer variables– as the starting point for a quantization. The quantization, in our formulation, amounts to introducing the 'quantum' *polymer *-star algebra* and looking for its representations. We focus here on the so called GNS representations defined by a positive linear functional, called a state. An assumption of the homeomorphism invariance actually allows to derive a class of the states. In this letter we outline the main steps and conclusions of the derivation and present the results: the unique class of states on the polymer *-algebra which satisfy our assumptions. We also present the representations they define and characterize those states which lead to essentially self adjoint momentum operators (unbounded). We identify the equivalence classes of the representations as well as irreducible ones. The technical and detailed derivation of the results is contained in [2]. The problem we have addressed and the methods we have used were inspired by the previous study [7, 8] of representations of the Sahlmann quantum holonomy-flux algebra in LQG.

2 Classical polymer Lie algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{\rm cl}$

The classical scalar field in the canonical approach consists of a pair of fields (ϕ, π) defined on a N-real dimensional manifold M, where: $\phi \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ where $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ stands for the space of the smooth real valued functions defined on M, whereas π, called canonical momentum, is a scalar density of the weight 1. The momentum π can be expressed by a function $\tilde{\pi} : U \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on an arbitrary region $U \subset M$ equipped with coordinates $(x^1, ..., x^N)$. The function, however, depends on the coordinates in

[1] As far as we are concerned, it is conceivable, that a background independent quantum theory can be derived even from a background dependent framework. Another possibility is, that the classical limit of the theory (the classical GR for example) has more symmetries (the diffeomorphisms) then the underlying quantum theory. Therefore, we are not in the position to claim that every background dependent approach to a quantization of a background independent theory is wrong. Nonetheless, the first thing one should do is to try without introducing extra structures.

[2] The reason for that name, is that the polymer excitations of the quantum scalar field serve as a toy model of the genuinely polymer, supported on graphs excitations of quantum geometry [6, 5].

the following way: if $(x'^1, ..., x'^N)$ is another coordinate system defined on U, then the corresponding momentum function $\tilde{\pi}'$ is such that at every $x \in U$

$$\tilde{\pi}(x)d^N x \;=\; \tilde{\pi}'(x)d^N x'. \tag{1}$$

The fields ϕ and π are called canonically conjugate in the sense od the Poisson bracket, that is usually wrote as

$$\{\phi(x), \tilde{\pi}(y)\} \;=\; \delta_x(y). \tag{2}$$

That Poisson bracket will be encoded in the Lie bracket of a Lie algebra.

A choice of those functions of the fields (ϕ, π) which next will be turned into quantum operators directly, is crucial for the quantization procedure. They will be *polymer variables* defined below.

A *polymer position variable* $h_{k,x}$ is labelled by a point $x \in M$ and a number k, as the evaluation function (scaled and exponentiated),

$$h_{k,x}(\phi, \pi) \;=\; e^{ik\phi(x)}. \tag{3}$$

A *polymer momentum variable* π_U is labelled by a region $U \subset M$,

$$\pi_U \;=\; \int_U \pi, \tag{4}$$

where the integral is well defined because π has the properties of a signed measure.

The polymer position and, respectively, momentum variables span a *polymer Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{A}_{\rm cl}, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$[3]:

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\rm cl} \;=\; \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i h_{k_i,x_i} + \overline{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i h_{k_i,x_i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_i \pi_{U_i} \;:\; a_i \in \mathbb{C}, b_j \in \mathbb{R}, U_j \in \mathcal{U} \right\} \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{U}$ is some suitably fixed family of sets (to be specified later), and the Lie bracket is the Poisson bracket

$$\{a h_{k,x} + \bar{a} h_{-k,x}\,,\, \pi_U\} \;=\; \begin{cases} ik(a h_{k,x} - \bar{a} h_{-k,x}), & \text{if } x \in U \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin U \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

$$\{a h_{k,x} + \bar{a} h_{-k,x}\,,\, a' h_{k',x'} + \bar{a}' h_{-k',x'}\} \;= 0 \;=\; \{\pi_U\,,\, \pi_{U'}\} \tag{7}$$

[3]Each symbol '$\cdot$' in the bracket means a spot for an arbitrary element of $\mathfrak{A}$.

3 Quantum polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$

Now, we will turn the polymer position and momentum variables into *quantum* operators. Heuristically, we just want to replace all the $h_{k,x}$ and π_U variables by quantum operators $\hat{h}_{k,x}$ and $\hat{\pi}_U$. We express that well understood procedure by a mathematically complete definition.

First, consider the complexification $(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathbb{C}}, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$, of the polymer Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{cl}}, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$.

Next, consider the huge space,

$$e^{\otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathbb{C}}} \;=\; \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathbb{C}})^{\otimes n} \tag{8}$$

where

$$(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathbb{C}})^0 := \mathbb{C}. \tag{9}$$

It has the natural structure a complex, associative (that is with an operation of multiplication) algebra defined by the complex vector space structure and the operation $\otimes$. There is also naturally defined anti-isomorphism-convolution $\cdot^*$ in it, such that

$$(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n)^* = \overline{a_n} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{a_1}, \tag{10}$$

where $\bar{}$ is the complex conjugation.

Next, consider the following subset of 'relations'

$$\{\, a \otimes b - b \otimes a - i\{a, b\} \;:\; a, b \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathbb{C}} \,\}, \tag{11}$$

and the corresponding double sided ideal[4] J they generate. Notice, that J is preserved by the convolution $\cdot^*$, hence $\cdot^*$ passes to the quotient $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathbb{C}})^{\otimes n}/J$ (and is denoted by the same symbol $\cdot^*$).

Finally, define:

Definition 3.1. *The polymer *-algebra $(\mathfrak{A}, \cdot^*)$ is the associative *-algebra*

$$\mathfrak{A} \;=\; \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathbb{C}})^{\otimes n}/J. \tag{12}$$

[4]That is the vector subspace of $e^{\otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ spanned by all the elements of the form $A \otimes (a \otimes b - b \otimes a - i\{a, b\}) \otimes B$ where $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $A, B \in e^{\otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ are all arbitrary.

We will be using the following notation: an element $[a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n] \in \mathfrak{A}$ corresponding to $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \in e^{\otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\rm cl}^{\mathbb{C}}}$, where $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathfrak{A}_{\rm cl}^{\mathbb{C}}$ will be denoted by $\hat{a}_1 \ldots \hat{a}_n$, in particular

$$\hat{h}_{k,x} \;=\; [h_{k,x}] \in \mathfrak{A}, \quad \hat{\pi}_U \;=\; [\pi_U] \in \mathfrak{A} \tag{13}$$

$$\hat{a}_1 \ldots \hat{a}_n \;=\; [a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n] \in \mathfrak{A}. \tag{14}$$

Therefore indeed, the elements of the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ can be thought of, as polynomials in all the *quantum position polymer variables* $\hat{h}_{k,x}$ and all the *quantum momentum polymer variables* $\hat{\pi}_U$.

The only non-vanishing commutators between the generators of $\mathfrak{A}$ are,

$$\hat{h}_{k,x}\hat{\pi}_U - \hat{\pi}_U\hat{h}_{k,x} \;=\; \begin{cases} k\hat{h}_{k,x}, & \text{if } \; x \in U \\ 0, & \text{if } \; x \notin U \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $x \in M$ and $k \neq 0$.

Notice, that the zeroth order polynomials in the quantum polymer position and momentum variables are also contained in $\mathfrak{A}$. The are elements of the subspace $\mathbb{C} \subset e^{\otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\rm cl}^{\mathbb{C}}}$. In particular, the element $1 \in \mathbb{C}$, defines an element $\hat{1} \in \mathfrak{A}$, the unity of the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.

4 States on $\mathfrak{A}$: the nature of the problem

A complete quantization of the polymer variables amounts to finding a representation of the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ in the algebra of operators defined in a Hilbert space. In this paper we will be concerned with so called 'GNS representations' defined by so called 'states' on $\mathfrak{A}$. Recall, that a *state* on a unital (i.e. containing an element $\hat{1}$ such that $\hat{1}A = A = A\hat{1}$ for every $A \in \mathfrak{A}$) $*$-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is a linear functional

$$\mathfrak{A} \ni A \;\mapsto\; \langle A \rangle \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{16}$$

such that for every $A \in \mathfrak{A}$

$$\langle A^* A \rangle \;\geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \hat{1} \rangle \;=\; 1. \tag{17}$$

Using the common jargon, the number $\langle A \rangle$ is referred to as the 'expectation value'.

The difficulty in defining a state on the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ just by assigning a number to a general product of the generators (the products of the quantum momenta (positions) only are included in the case $n = 0$ ($m = 0$)),

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{h}_{k_i,x_i} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \hat{\pi}_{U_j} \;\mapsto \langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{h}_{k_i,x_i} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \hat{\pi}_{U_j} \rangle \tag{18}$$

and attempting to extend that assignment by the linearity to the entire $\mathfrak{A}$ consists in the both:

- satisfying identities in the algebra – all of them have to pass to the expectation values,
- ensuring the positivity.

Examples of the identities are:

$$\hat{\pi}_{U\cup U'} \;=\; \hat{\pi}_U + \hat{\pi}_{U'} - \hat{\pi}_{U\cap U'} \tag{19}$$

which holds whenever U, U', $U\cup U'$, $U\cap U' \in \mathcal{U}$, as well as the commutation relations (15) and obviously the commutativity of the quantum polymer position (momentum) variables among themselves.

Nonetheless, there is a quite simple example of a state:

Example [3, 4] Going back to the definition (3) of the polymer position variable, it is reasonable to assume about an eventual representation of the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, that for each point $x \in M$, the given family of the classical variables $e^{ik\phi(x)}$ correspond to a 1-dimensional group of unitary operators. In terms of a state $\langle\cdot\rangle$ on the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, it is equivalent to assuming that every product $\hat{h}_{k,x}\hat{h}_{k',x}$ will be indistinguishable from $\hat{h}_{k+k',x}$, that is

$$\langle A\cdot(\hat{h}_{k,x}\hat{h}_{k',x} - \hat{h}_{k+k',x})\cdot B\,\rangle = 0, \tag{20}$$

for every $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$, $x \in M$ and $k, k' \neq 0$, where, exclusively in this equality, we also define $\hat{h}_{0,x} = \hat{1}$.

The following properties

$$\langle A\cdot\pi_U\rangle \;=\; 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad \text{every} \;\; U\in\mathcal{U}, \tag{21}$$

$$\langle\prod_{i=1}^{n}\hat{h}_{k_i,x_i}\rangle \;=\; 0 \quad \text{if} \quad (x_i\neq x_j \;\; \text{whenever} \;\; i\neq j)\,. \tag{22}$$

together with the condition (20) define a unique state $\langle\cdot\rangle$ on the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. This state is well known, and used in LQG for the description of the scalar field interacting with the quantum geometry.

Thus far we have not specified the labelling family $\mathcal{U}$, and Example above is not sensitive on a choice we make.

Henceforth, we will be assuming that $\mathcal{U}$ consists of all the subsets of M which are simultaneously: open, bounded and triangulable (later in this section the last condition will be strengthen).

The diffeomorphisms of M act naturally on the classical fields (ϕ, π), and that action passes to the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. Given a diffeomorphism $\varphi : M \to M$, the corresponding automorphism $\varphi* : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ acts on the generators of $\mathfrak{A}$ by the action of φ on the labelling elements and subsets of M,

$$\varphi * \hat{h}_{k,x} \;=\; \hat{h}_{k,\varphi(x)}, \qquad \varphi * \hat{\pi}_U \;=\; \hat{\pi}_{\varphi(U)}. \tag{23}$$

Obviously, the state in Example is invariant with respect to that action,

$$\langle \varphi * A \rangle \;=\; \langle A \rangle \qquad \text{for every} \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}. \tag{24}$$

The action (23) of the diffeomorphisms naturally extends to the action of homeomorphisms of M in $\mathfrak{A}$. The state (20, 21, 22) is also homeomorphism invariant.

In the view of the symmetries of the state (20, 21, 22) it is natural to ask if there are other homeomorphism invariant states defined on the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. Derivation of such states was the main goal of the current and the coming paper [2]. We discuss the results below whereas the details and exact proofs will be published in the second paper.

5 Homeomorphism invariant states on $\mathfrak{A}$: properties

A first surprising consequence of assuming the homeomorphism invariance of a state $\langle\cdot\rangle$ on the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, is that for arbitrary quantum polymer momentum variable $\hat{\pi}_U$ and every homeomorphism $\varphi : M \to M$, necessarily the following identity holds

$$\langle (\hat{\pi}_U - \hat{\pi}_{\varphi(U)})^* (\hat{\pi}_U - \hat{\pi}_{\varphi(U)}) \rangle \;=\; 0 \tag{25}$$

(the momenta are real, hence the * does not change them, but we put it to emphasis the relation with (17).)

The careful analysis of the equality (25) allows us to determine for every n-tuple of the quantum polymer momentum variables $\hat{\pi}_{U_1}, \ldots, \hat{\pi}_{U_k} \in \mathfrak{A}$, a possible expectation value of the product up to a constant factor. The result is

$$\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\pi}_{U_i} \rangle \;=\; a_n \prod_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{\mathrm{E}}(U_i), \tag{26}$$

where $\chi_{\mathrm{E}}(U_i)$ stands for the Euler characteristic of the set U_i, and the constant a_n depends only on n.

The sequence of constants $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in (26) can not be defined arbitrarily, as we attempt to construct a state, because of the inequalities (17). A somewhat formal, at a first sight, but quite convenient way of translating (17) into a condition on the sequence $(a_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$, is by using polynomials defined on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $C[t]$ be the space of all the polynomials (includding the 0th-order ones) with complex coefficients, defined on $\mathbb{R}$. It has the natural *-algebra structure with $\cdot^*$ being the complex conjugation. The statement is, that the infinite sequence of the numbers $(a_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ defined by (26) satisfies all the inequalities implied by the condition (17) imposed on the subalgebra of the polymer

*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by all the quantum polymer momentum variables, if and only if there is a state μ on the *-algebra $C[t]$, such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mu(t^n) \;=\; a_n, \tag{27}$$

where $t : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the identity function $t(t') = t'$.

This formulation of the conditions on the sequence $(a_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is particularly helpful in constructing solutions. In fact, each probability measure $d\mu$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$ such that every polynomial is integrable, defines a state on $C[t]$ and, in the consequence, a solution of the positivity condition,

$$a_n \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\mu \; t^n. \tag{28}$$

The state in Example also corresponds to a measure, namely to the Dirac delta supported at $0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, combining the necessary homeomorphism invariance condition (25) with the commutation relations (15) allows to determine the expectation values for products involving the quantum polymer position variables, and in particular, for arbitrary product $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{h}_{k_i,x_i} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \hat{\pi}_{U_j}$ of the quantum polymer position and momentum variables (including the degenerate case $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{h}_{k_i,x_i}$),

$$\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{h}_{k_i,x_i} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \hat{\pi}_{U_j} \rangle \;=\; 0, \quad \text{unless} \tag{29}$$

$$[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{h}_{k_i,x_i},\, \hat{\pi}_U\,] = 0 \quad \text{for every } \; U \in \mathcal{U}. \tag{30}$$

The second case above takes place for example, when the product involves the quantum polymer momentum variables only, or if

$$x_1 = \ldots = x_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \;=\; 0. \tag{31}$$

In conclusion, we have formulated above the sequence of conditions necessary for a state $\langle\cdot\rangle$ defined on the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ to be homeomorphism invariant: *(26), the existence of a state μ on the polynomial algebra such that (27), and finally (29). If we additionally assume that the state $\langle\cdot\rangle$ satisfies the condition (20), then, the state is determined by the state μ induced on the polynomial algebra $C[t]$.* Notice, however, that the *existence* of the considered state $\langle\cdot\rangle$ has been being assumed.

6 The existence of the homeomorphism invariant states

The existence statement we formulate now has a conditional character. The unconditional existence statement we make next, will apply after imposing the *semi-analyticity* condition on the subsets $U \subset M$ used to label the momenta. Let us begin with the conditional statement:

*There exists a homeomorphism invariant state $\langle\cdot\rangle$ on the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ different the the state introduced in Example, if and only if it is true that for every finite sequence of balls*[5] $B_1, \ldots, B_n \in M$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \;=\; 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \;=\; 0, \tag{32}$$

where given a subset $U \subset M$, $\mathbf{1}_U$ stands for the characteristic function, and the coefficients $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ are integers. Moreover, if the state above exists, then the conditions (26, 27, 29,20) uniquely define a state $\langle\cdot\rangle$ for every given state μ.

The family $\mathcal{U}$ of the subsets $U \operatorname{Im} M$ labelling the momenta has been defined at the beginning of this section. If we strengthen one of the defining conditions, and use instead the family $\mathcal{U}^{(\mathrm{sa})}$ of all the open, bounded and *semi-analytic* subsets (assume for the simplicity $M = \mathbb{R}^N$) [9] then all the considerations presented above continue to be true (The only add we have to make is defining the homeomorphism invariance appropriately - see below). Moreover, it is easy to prove that for the semi-analytic balls the implication (32) is true! Therefore, the conclusions of existence statement made above also becomes true unconditionally.

Using the semi-analytic sets breaks the usual diffeomorphism symmetry. However, the group of the so called [8] semi-analytic diffeomorphisms which preserve $\mathcal{U}^{(sa)}$ is considerably larger then the group of the analytic diffeomorphisms. In particular, the group has local degrees of freedom, in the sense that for every point $x \in M$ and its neighborhood U', there is a semi-analytic diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^N$ which moves x, but restricted to $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus U'$ is the identity map. It is not hard to generalize the definition of the semi-analyticity to a manifold M. It gives rise to exactly the category of manifolds which are used in LQG.

Denote by $\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})}$ the polymer *-algebra corresponding to the labelling set $\mathcal{U}^{(\mathrm{sa})}$. Of course $\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})} \subset \mathfrak{A}$. The homeomorphism invariance of a state on $\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})}$ we need for our arguments to continue to be true in the semi-analytic case is defined as follows. For every homeomorphisms $\varphi : M \to M$,

$$\varphi* : \mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})} \to \mathfrak{A}, \tag{33}$$

[5] We call $B \subset M$ a ball if there is a local chard in the atlas of M and a homeomorphism $\varphi : M \to M$ such that $\varphi(B)$ is mapped by the chart into a ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

and there may be a non-empty intersection $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})}) \cap \mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})}$. Then, a state $\langle\cdot\rangle$ defined on the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})}$ is called homeomorphism invariant if

$$\langle\varphi(A)\rangle = \langle A\rangle, \tag{34}$$

for every homeomorphism φ and every $A \in \varphi(\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})}) \cap \mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})}$.

7 The corresponding GNS representations

The states constructed in Sections 5 and 6 are finally used to find representations of the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})}$. We will skip here the GNS construction and directly introduce the resulting representations.

Consider the vector space $\mathrm{Cyl}\otimes C[t]$ (the algebraic tensor product) where the second factor is the space of polynomials of one real variable familiar from Section 5 (see it for the notation), and Cyl is the set of the finite formal linear combinations of elements $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$ labelled by all the maps $\mathbf{k} : M \to \mathbb{R}$ of a *finite* support,

$$\mathrm{Cyl} \;=\; \{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i|\mathbf{k}_i\rangle \;:\; n \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \in \mathbb{C}\}. \tag{35}$$

Behind the family states we introduced in the previous section there is a single underlying representation ρ of the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})}$ in the vector space $\mathrm{Cyl}\otimes C[t]$ and a *family* of hermitian positive definite forms. The representation is defined by the following action of the generators:

$$\rho(\hat{h}_{k',x})(|\mathbf{k}\rangle \otimes p(t)) \;=\; |\mathbf{k} + k'\mathbf{1}_{\{x\}}\rangle \otimes p(t) \tag{36}$$

$$\rho(\hat{\pi}_U)(|\mathbf{k}\rangle \otimes p(t)) \;=\; \sum_{x\in U} \mathbf{k}(x)|\mathbf{k}\rangle \otimes p(t) \;+\; \chi_{\mathrm{E}}(U)|\mathbf{k}\rangle \otimes tp(t), \tag{37}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{\{x\}}$ is the characteristic function of the 1-element set $\{x\}$, and the sum in the second equation has only finitely many non-zero terms.[6]

Now, we relate ρ with the state $\langle\cdot\rangle_\mu$ on the algebra $\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})}$ defined by: a fixed state μ on the polynomial algebra $C[t]$, and the conditions (26, 27, 29,20) (with the symbol $\langle\cdot\rangle$ replaced by $\langle\cdot\rangle_\mu$). We introduce a positive definite hermitian form $(\cdot|\cdot)_\mu$ in the vector space $\mathrm{Cyl}\otimes C[t]$, and quotient the vector space by the subspace of the 'zero norm' states. The hermitian form is the tensor product $(\cdot|\cdot)_{\mathrm{Cyl}} \otimes (\cdot|\cdot)_{C[t]}$ hermitian form. In Cyl, the form $(\cdot|\cdot)_{\mathrm{Cyl}}$ is defined by

$$(|\mathbf{k_1}\rangle \,|\, |\mathbf{k_2}\rangle)_{\mathrm{Cyl}} \;=\; \begin{cases} 1, \text{if} \;\; \mathbf{k_1} &= \mathbf{k_2} \\ 0, \text{if} \;\; \mathbf{k_1} &\neq \mathbf{k_2}. \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

[6]The fact, that the definition above extends to the representation of the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})}$ is not trivial, and relies on the properties of the Euler characteristic and on the implication (32).

The hermitian form $(\cdot|\cdot)_{C[t]}$ in the vector space $C[t]$, on the other hand, is defined by a state μ on the *-algebra algebra $C[t]$, namely

$$(P(t)|Q(t))_{C[t]} \;=\; \mu(\overline{P(t)}Q(t)). \tag{39}$$

The resulting unitary Hilbert space is the completion of the unitary space

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu \;=\; \mathrm{Cyl}\otimes(C[t]/J_\mu) \quad \text{where} \tag{40}$$

$$J_\mu \;=\; \{P(t)\,:\,\mu(\overline{P(t)}P(t))=0\}, \tag{41}$$

and the representation ρ induces the representation ρ_μ in $\mathcal{H}_\mu$. The representation ρ_μ is related with the state $\langle\cdot\rangle_\mu$ defined by μ and the conditions (26, 27, 29,20) in the familiar way

$$\langle A\rangle_\mu \;=\; \left(\,|\mathbf{1}_\emptyset\rangle\otimes 1_{C[t]}\,\middle|\,\rho(A)\,|\mathbf{1}_\emptyset\rangle\otimes 1_{C[t]}\,\right)_\mu, \tag{42}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_\emptyset$ is the identically zero function on M and $1_{C[t]}$ is the identically 1 function on $\mathbb{R}$, and moreover the vector used to define the state is a cyclic vector, i.e.

$$\rho_\mu(\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})})\left(|\mathbf{1}_\emptyset\rangle\otimes 1_{C[t]}\right) \;=\; \mathcal{H}_\mu. \tag{43}$$

In [2] we have also analyzed properties of the representation ρ_μ of the polymer *-algebra $\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathrm{sa})}$. As it has been already mentioned, for every quantum polymer position variable $\hat{h}_{k,x}$, the operator $\rho_\mu(\hat{h}_{k,x})$ is unitary in $\mathcal{H}_\mu$. If we fix $x\in M$ and vary $k\in\mathbb{R}$ including $k=0$, the corresponding operators $\rho_\mu(\hat{h}_{k,x})$ form a 1-dimensional unitary group. Given a quantum polymer momentum variable $\hat{\pi}_U$ labelled by U such that $\chi_{\mathrm{E}}(U)\neq 0$, the operator $\rho_\mu(\hat{\pi}_U)$ is essentially self adjoint provided the state μ is defined by a measure on $\mathbb{R}$ (see (27,28)) such that $C[t]$ is dense in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R},\mu)$. Let us consider that class of states. Given two measures μ_1 and μ_2, the corresponding representations are equivalent, if and only if the measures are equivalent (meaning: the families of the measure zero sets coincide). Finally, given a measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$, the corresponding representation ρ_μ is irreducible if and only if μ is the Dirac measure supported at an arbitrary appoint $t_0\in\mathbb{R}$. In that case case, $\mathcal{H}_\mu=\mathrm{Cyl}$, and

$$\rho(\hat{\pi}_U)|\mathbf{k}\rangle \;=\; \sum_{x\in U}\mathbf{k}(x)|\mathbf{k}\rangle \;+\; t_0\chi_{\mathrm{E}}(U)|\mathbf{k}\rangle. \tag{44}$$

In particular, the previously known representation corresponding to the state defined in Example of Section 5 is defined by μ being the Dirac delta supported at $t_0=0$.

Acknowledgments We have benefited from discussions with Abhay Ashtekar, John Baez, Witold Marciszewski, Tadeusz Mostowski, Andrzej Okołów, Hanno Sahlmann, Thomas Thiemann and Andrzej Trautman. The work was partially supported by the Polish Ministry of Science grants 1 P03B 075 29 and 1 P03A 015 29.

References

[1] Smolin L 2005 The case for background independence [hep-th/0507235]

[2] Kamiński, W Lewandowski J, Okołów A 2005 Background independent quantizations: the scalar field II

[3] Thiemann T 1998 QSD V : Quantum Gravity as the Natural Regulator of Matter Quantum Field Theories *Class. Quant. Grav.* **15** 1281-1314 [gr-qc/9705019]
Thiemann T 1998 Kinematical Hilbert Spaces for Fermionic and Higgs Quantum *Class. Quant. Grav.* **15** 1487-1512 [gr-qc/9705021]

[4] Ashtekar A, Lewandowski J, Sahlmann H 2003 Polymer and Fock representations for a Scalar field *Class. Quant.Grav.* **20** L11-1 [gr-qc/0211012]

[5] Ashtekar A, Lewandowski J 2004 Background independent quantum gravity: A status report *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, R53 [gr-qc/0404018]
Smolin L 2004 An invitation to loop quantum gravity [hep-th/0408048]
Rovelli C. (2004): *Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004
Thiemann T 2001 *Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, in press, [gr-qc/0110034]

[6] Ashtekar A, Lewandowski L 1994 Representation Theory of Analytic Holonomy C* Algebras *Knots and Quantum Gravity* ed Baez J C (Oxford U Press, Oxford) [gr-qc/9311010]

[7] Sahlmann H 2002 Some comments on the representation theory of the algebra underlying loop quantum gravity [gr-qc/0207111]
Sahlmann H 2002 When do measures on the space of connections support the triad operators of loop quantum gravity? [gr-qc/0207112]
Okołów A and Lewandowski J 2003 Diffeomorphism covariant representations of the holonomy- flux *-algebra *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20**, 3543–3568 [gr-qc/0302059]
Sahlmann H and Thiemann T 2003 On the superselection theory of the Weyl algebra for diffeomorphism invariant quantum gauge theories, [gr-qc/0302090]
Sahlmann H, Thiemann T 2003 Irreducibility of the Ashtekar- Isham- Lewandowski representation [gr-qc/0303074]
Okołów A, Lewandowski J 2004 Automorphism covariant representations of the holonomy-flux *-algebra, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** 657 [gr-qc/0405119]
Fleischhack C 2004 Representations of the Weyl algebra in quantum geometry [math-ph/0407006]

[8] Lewandowski J, Okołów A, Sahlmann H, Thiemann T 2005 Uniques of Diffeomorphism Invariant State on Holonomy- Flux Algebras [gr-qc/0504147]

[9] Łojasiewicz, S. (1964): Triangulation of semi-analytic sets. Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa **18**, 449-474
Bierstone, E. and Milman, P. D. (1988): Semianalytic and Subanalytic sets. Publ. Maths. IHES **67**, 5-42